arXiv:1703.06224v1 [math.CT] 18 Mar 2017

RECOLLEMENTS FOR DUALIZING k-VARIETIES AND AUSLANDER'S FORMULAS

YASUAKI OGAWA

ABSTRACT. Given the pair of a dualizing k-variety and its functorially finite subcategory, we show that there exists a recollement consisting of their functor categories of finitely presented objects. We provide several applications for Auslander's formulas: The first one realizes a module category as a Serre quotient of a suitable functor category. The second one shows a close connection between Auslander-Bridger sequences and recollements. The third one gives a new proof of the higher defect formula which includes the higher Auslander-Reiten duality as a special case.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of recollements introduced in [BBD] provides an effective tool for categorical study of algebras. A recollement of abelian categories is a special case of Serre quotients where both the inclusion and the quotient functor admit left and right adjoints. Such a recollement situation is denoted throughout the paper by a diagram of the form below:

$$\mathcal{B} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{A} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}.$$

It provides a tool for deconstructing the middle category $\mathcal{A}$ into smaller ones $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. There are a lot of recent work on recollements of abelian categories [FP, Psa, PV]. One of the most studied example is as follows. Let A be an associative ring (with unit) and e its idempotent. Then there exists a recollement:

$$\mathsf{Mod}A/AeA \rightleftarrows \mathsf{Mod}A \rightleftarrows \mathsf{Mod}eAe. \tag{0.1}$$

If A is noetherian, it restricts to a recollements consisting of the categories of finitely generated modules. In fact, recollements of this type appeared in many contexts in representation theory, e.g. [Kra2, CS, Eir].

Our aim is to extend this recollement to functor categories over dualizing k-varieties. A dualizing k-variety can be considered as an analog of the category of finitely generated projective modules over a finite dimensional algebra, but with possibly infinitely many indecomposable objects up to isomorphism [AR1]. It is a Krull-Schmidt Hom-finite k-linear category $\mathcal{A}$ where the standard k-duality $\mathrm{Hom}_k(-,k)$ induces the duality between $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$.

Date: March 21, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18A25(primary); 16G70(secondary).

Key words and phrases. recollements; dualizing k-varieties; Auslander-Bridger sequences; Auslander-Reiten theory.

Theorem (Theorem 2.3)**.** *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be the pair of a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$ and its functorially finite subcategory $\mathcal{B}$. Then we have the following recollement:*

$$\mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]) \longrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{B}.$$

In Section 3, we show that in the functor category of a suitable dualizing k-variety, Auslander-Bridger sequences are nothing other than right-defining exact sequences of a recollement (Theorem 3.2).

In Section 4, we approach to higher Auslander-Reiten theory from a viewpoint of dualizing k-varieties. Auslander-Reiten theory is a fundamental tool for studying representation theory of Artin algebras, see [ARS, ASS]. In the late 2000s, Higher Auslander-Reiten theory was introduced by Iyama in [Iya1, Iya2]. In this section, we give a higher analog of Auslander's defect formula for an n-cluster tilting subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety (Theorem 4.8). As an application, we give an equivalence

$$\sigma_n : \underline{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathcal{B}}$$

and a bifunctorial isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma_n^- y, x) \cong D\,\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, \sigma_n x). \tag{0.2}$$

In particular, σ_n coincides with the n-Auslander-Reiten translation τ_n and (0.2) gives an n-Auslander-Reiten duality (Theorem 4.11).

A similar approach to higher Auslander-Reiten theory was given by Jasso and Kvamme [JK] independently, but our approach is slightly different since we do not use an explicit form of τ_n.

Notation and convention. Throughout this paper we fix a commutative field k. The symbol A always denotes a finite dimensional algebra over the field k. The category of finite dimensional right A-modules and its full subcategory of projective (resp. injective) A-modules will be denoted by $\mathsf{mod}\,A$ and $\mathsf{proj}\,A$ (resp. $\mathsf{inj}\,A$), respectively. The projectively (resp. injectively) stable category of $\mathsf{mod}\,A$ will be denoted by $\underline{\mathsf{mod}}\,A$ (resp. $\overline{\mathsf{mod}}\,A$).

The symbols $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ always denote an additive category, and the set of morphisms $a \to b$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$. We consider only additive functors between additive categories; that is functors F which satisfy $F(f + g) = F(f) + F(g)$ whenever $f + g$ is defined. For a given category $\mathcal{A}$, we denote its opposite category by $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. For a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$, its *image* and *kernel* are defined as the full subcategories of $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathrm{Im}\,F := \{y \in \mathcal{C} \mid {}^{\exists}x \in \mathcal{A},\ Fx \cong y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ker}\,F := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid F(x) = 0\},$$

respectively. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]$ the ideal quotient category of $\mathcal{A}$ modulo the (two-sided) ideal $[\mathcal{B}]$ in $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of all morphisms having a factorization through an object in $\mathcal{B}$. If there exists a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we often regard $\mathcal{B}$ as a full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$.

In the case that given categories are k-linear; that is for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ its morphism-space $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ is a k-module and the composition $\mathcal{A}(y, z) \times \mathcal{A}(x, y) \to \mathcal{A}(x, z)$ is k-bilinear, we consider only additive k-linear functors, that is, they give a k-linear maps between morphism-spaces.

Acknowledgements. First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisors Kiriko Kato and Osamu Iyama, who gave me so many helpful suggestions and discussions. I am also grateful to Takahide Adachi for his valuable comments and advice.

1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the notion of dualizing k-varieties introduced by Auslander and Reiten in [AR1]. We also recall the definition of recollements of abelian categories, as well as some basic properties which are needed in this paper.

1.1. **Dualizing k-varieties.** We recall from [AR1] some basic facts about dualizing k-varieties. We denote by Ab the category of abelian groups. For an essentially small category $\mathcal{A}$, a *(right) $\mathcal{A}$-module* is defined to be a contravariant functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ and a *morphism* $X \to Y$ between $\mathcal{A}$-modules X and Y is a natural transformation. Thus we define an abelian category of $\mathcal{A}$-modules which is denoted by $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$. In the category $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$, the morphism-space $(\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A})(X,Y)$ is usually denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X,Y)$.

In the case that given categories are k-linear, it is natural to consider, instead of the category of additive functors to Ab; the equivalent category of k-linear functors to $\mathsf{Mod}k$, which is denoted by the same symbol.

An $\mathcal{A}$-module X is *finitely generated* if there exists an epimorphism $\mathcal{A}(-,a) \twoheadrightarrow X$ for some $a \in \mathcal{A}$. An $\mathcal{A}$-module X is said to be *finitely presented* if there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{A}(-,a_1) \to \mathcal{A}(-,a_0) \to X \to 0$$

for some $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote by $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ the full subcategory of finitely presented $\mathcal{A}$-modules.

For an arbitrary category $\mathcal{A}$, although the subcategory $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ is closed under cokernels and extensions in $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$, it is not necessarily abelian since it is not necessarily closed under kernels. Let $f : Y \to Z$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{A}$. We call a morphism $g : X \to Y$ a *weak-kernel for f* if the induced sequence

$$\mathcal{A}(-,X) \xrightarrow{g\circ -} \mathcal{A}(-,Y) \xrightarrow{f\circ -} \mathcal{A}(-,Z)$$

is exact. We say $\mathcal{A}$ *admits weak-kernels* if every morphism in $\mathcal{A}$ has a weak-kernel. The notion of *weak-cokernel* is defined dually. We recall the following well-known fact.

Lemma 1.1. *The following are equivalent for a category $\mathcal{A}$.*

(i) *The category $\mathcal{A}$ admits weak-kernels.*
(ii) *The full subcategory $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ is an exact abelian subcategory in $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$, that is, it is abelian and the canonical inclusion $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$ is exact.*

Like the case for module categories, $\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathsf{inj}\mathcal{A}$) denotes the full subcategory of projective (resp. injective) $\mathcal{A}$-modules in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$, and the projectively (injectively) stable category will be denoted by $\underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} := (\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A})/[\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}]$ (resp. $\overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} := (\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A})/[\mathsf{inj}\mathcal{A}]$).

In the rest of this subsection, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a *Krull-Schmidt* k-linear category, that is, each object $x \in \mathcal{A}$ admits a decomposition $x \cong \coprod_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ with $\mathcal{A}(x_i, x_i)$ a local k-algebra for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We also assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is *Hom-finite*, that is, each morphism-space $\mathcal{A}(x,y)$ is a finite dimensional k-module. We denote by $D := \mathrm{Hom}_k(-,k) : \mathsf{mod}k \to \mathsf{mod}k$ the standard k-duality.

Definition 1.2. [AR1, Section 2] A Krull-Schmidt Hom-finite k-linear category $\mathcal{A}$ is a *dualizing k-variety* if the standard k-duality $D : \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$, $X \mapsto D \circ X$ induces a duality $D : \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$.

It is obvious that $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety if and only if so is $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety, due to the duality between $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$, $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ is closed under kernels in $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$. Thus $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ is an exact abelian subcategory in $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 1.1, $\mathcal{A}$ admits

weak-kernels and weak-cokernels. The following proposition gives us basic examples of dualizing k-varieties.

Proposition 1.3. [AR1, Prop. 2.6] *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety. Then $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety. Moreover, $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ admits injective hulls and projective covers.*

Next we recall the definition of functorially finite subcategories and collect some lemmas about functorially finite subcategories in dualizing k-varieties which will be used later. The symbol $X|_{\mathcal{B}}$ denotes the restricted functor of an $\mathcal{A}$-module X onto a subcategory $\mathcal{B}$. Especially, for a functor category $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ and its full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ we also write $\mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}, x) := \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(-, x)|_{\mathcal{B}}$, where $x \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary category and $\mathcal{B}$ a full subcategory in $\mathcal{A}$.

(i) The full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ is *contravariantly finite* if the functor $\mathcal{A}(-, x)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{B}$-module for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
(ii) The full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ is *covariantly finite* if the functor $\mathcal{A}(x, -)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$-module for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
(iii) We call $\mathcal{B}$ a *functorially finite* if it is contravariantly finite and covariantly finite.

If a $\mathcal{B}$-module $\mathcal{A}(-, x)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finitely generated, then there exists an epimorphism

$$\mathcal{B}(-, b) \xrightarrow{\alpha\circ -} \mathcal{A}(-, x)|_{\mathcal{B}} \to 0$$

in $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{B}$ for some $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Then we call the induced map $\alpha : b \to x$ a *right $\mathcal{B}$-approximation of x*. Dually we define the notion of *left $\mathcal{B}$-approximation*.

It is easy to verify that the subcategories $\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathsf{inj}\mathcal{A}$ are functorially finite in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety. The following result gives a criterion for a given subcategory to be a dualizing k-variety.

Proposition 1.5. [AS, Thm. 2.3][Iya1, Prop. 1.2] *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a functorially finite subcategory in a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a dualizing k-variety.*

1.2. **Recollements of abelian categories.** In this subsection we recall some basic facts on recollements of abelian categories. Let us start with introducing basic terminologies. A pair of functors $L : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $R : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ is said to be an *adjoint pair* if there exists a bifunctorial isomorphism $\mathcal{A}(a, Rb) \cong \mathcal{B}(La, b)$ in $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. We simply denote this adjoint pair by $(L \dashv R)$. For a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, we often denote its right (resp. left) adjoint by F_ρ (resp. F_λ). If F admits a right adjoint F_ρ as well as a left adjoint F_λ, we denote this situation by



Throughout the rest of this subsection $\mathcal{A}$ is always assumed to be an abelian category. To begin with, we recall the definition of recollement, following [FP, Psa] (see also [Pop, Ch. 4]).

Definition 1.6. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be abelian categories. A *recollement of $\mathcal{A}$ relative to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$* is given by six functors



such that

(R1) They form four adjoint pairs $(e_\lambda \dashv e), (e \dashv e_\rho), (q_\lambda \dashv q)$ and $(q \dashv q_\rho)$.
(R2) The functors q_λ, q_ρ and e are fully faithful.
(R3) $\operatorname{Im} e = \operatorname{Ker} q$.

We denote this recollement by $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ for short.

Notice that the functors q and e are exact, since each of them admits a right adjoint and a left adjoint. The following proposition shows that a recollement is a special case of Serre quotients.

Proposition 1.7. [Pop, Thm. 4.9] *Let $q : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ be an exact functor. If it admits a fully faithful right adjoint q_ρ (resp. left adjoint q_λ), the functor q induces an equivalence between $\mathcal{C}$ and the Serre quotient $\mathcal{A}/\operatorname{Ker} q$ of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the Serre subcategory* $\operatorname{Ker} q$.

The following notions play a central role in Section 3.

Proposition 1.8. [Psa, Prop. 2.6] *For a given recollement $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ and an object $x \in \mathcal{A}$,*

(i) *we have an exact sequence $0 \to (ee_\rho)x \xrightarrow{\eta} x \xrightarrow{\epsilon} (q_\rho q)x \to y \to 0$, where η and ϵ are the counit and the unit of the adjoint pairs, respectively. We call it the* right-defining exact sequence.
(ii) *we have an exact sequence $0 \to y' \to (q_\rho q)x \xrightarrow{\eta'} x \xrightarrow{\epsilon'} (ee_\rho)x \to 0$, where η' and ϵ' are the counit and unit of the adjoint pairs, respectively. We call it the* left-defining exact sequence.

Moreover, if there exists an exact sequence $0 \to x' \to x \to x'' \to x''' \to 0$ with $x', x''' \in \operatorname{Im} e$ and $x'' \in \operatorname{Im} q_\rho$, then it is isomorphic to the right-defining exact sequence of x. The dual statement holds for the left-defining exact sequences.

2. Recollements arising from dualizing k-varieties

Let us start with introducing basic terminologies. We call a biadditive bifunctor from $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A}$ to Ab a $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{A}$-*bimodule*. We often regard $\mathcal{A}$ as an $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule by the following way

$$ {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}(-,+) : \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Ab},\ (a^{\mathrm{op}}, a') \mapsto \mathcal{A}(a', a). $$

Consider a full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. The canonical inclusion $i : \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ gives a natural $\mathcal{A}$-$\mathcal{B}$-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$ {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}} := \mathcal{A}(i(-),+) : \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathsf{Ab},\ (a^{\mathrm{op}}, b) \mapsto \mathcal{A}(i(b), a) = \mathcal{A}(b, a). $$

Similarly, we define a $\mathcal{B}$-$\mathcal{A}$-bimodule ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}(-, i(+))$. The first step is to show the following elementary proposition, which is a categorical analog of the recollement (0.1) and well-known for experts. Because we could not find a proper reference, we include a detailed proof.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be the pair of an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ and its full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$. Then we have the following recollement:*

$$ \mathsf{Mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]) \underset{p^*}{\overset{p^*_\lambda}{\underset{p^*_\rho}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{A} \underset{i^*}{\overset{i^*_\lambda}{\underset{i^*_\rho}{\rightleftarrows}}} \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathcal{B}. \tag{2.1} $$

Proof. (i) We shall construct the adjoint pairs on the right side in (2.1). The inclusion $i : \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ induces the natural restriction functor $i^* : \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{B}, X \mapsto X|_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}({}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}, -) \cong - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} ({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}})$. Thus it admits a left adjoint $i^*_\lambda := - \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} ({}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and a right adjoint $i^*_\rho := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}, -)$. By easy calculation, we show that i^*_λ and i^*_ρ are fully faithful. In fact, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} i^* \circ i^*_\lambda &\cong - \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} ({}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} ({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}) \cong - \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B} \cong \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{B}}, \\ i^* \circ i^*_\rho &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}({}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}, -)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(({}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} ({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}), -) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, -) \cong \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{B}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have constructed the right side of (2.1).

(ii) We shall construct the adjoint pairs on the left side in (2.1). The canonical projection $p : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]$ also induces the natural restriction functor $p^* : \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]) \to \mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$. By a similar argument to the above, p^* is a fully faithful exact functor which admits a left adjoint p^*_λ and a right adjoint p^*_ρ. Thus we have obtained the left side of (2.1).

(iii) It remains to show that $\mathrm{Im}\, p^* = \mathrm{Ker}\, i^*$. This follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be an object in $\mathsf{Mod}\mathcal{A}$. Then X belongs to $\mathrm{Im}\, p^*$ if and only if X vanishes on objects in $\mathcal{B}$. In particular, we have $\mathrm{Im}\, p^* = \mathrm{Ker}\, i^*$.*

Proof. If $X \in \mathrm{Im}\, p^*$, then there exists $X' \in \mathsf{Mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])$ such that $X \cong p^*X' = X' \circ p$. The functor p vanishes on objects in $\mathcal{B}$, so does X. Conversely, if $X(b) = 0$ for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$, then we have a unique functor $X' : \mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}] \to \mathsf{Ab}$ such that $X \cong X'p \cong p^*X'$. □

We have thus proved Proposition 2.1. □

The aim of this section is to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be the pair of a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$ and its functorially finite subcategory $\mathcal{B}$. Then we have the following recollement:*

$$\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]) \overset{e_\lambda}{\underset{e_\rho}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \overset{q_\lambda}{\underset{q_\rho}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}, \tag{2.2}$$

where these six functors are restricted ones of the functors which appear in the recollement (2.1). In particular, we have an equivalence $\dfrac{\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}}{\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])} \simeq \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$.

We call this the *recollement arising from the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$ and a functorially finite subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{A}$.*

In the rest of this section, we give a proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be Krull-Schmidt Hom-finite k-linear categories.

First we consider the right part of the recollement (2.1). The existence of the isomorphisms $i^*_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(-, b)) = \mathcal{B}(-, b) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} ({}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathcal{A}(-, b)$ shows that the functor i^*_λ preserves indecomposable projectives. Since i^*_λ is right-exact, we have the restricted functor $i^*_\lambda : \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B} \to \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$, which is denoted by the same symbol. However, in general i^* and i^*_ρ can not be restricted onto the subcategories of finitely presented functors. We will show in Proposition 2.5 that if $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a pair of a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$ and its functorially finite subcategory $\mathcal{B}$, i^* and i^*_ρ can be restricted onto the subcategories.

Second we consider the left part of the recollement (2.1). Like the case for the canonical inclusion i, although the left adjoint p^*_λ preserves finitely presented functors, p^* and p^*_ρ do not necessarily preserve finitely presentedness.

The next lemma shows a necessary and sufficient condition so that i^* and p^* preserves finitely presentedness, see [Buc, Prop. 3.9] for the equivalence (i) and (iii) below.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category with weak-kernels and $\mathcal{B}$ a full subcategory in $\mathcal{A}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *The category $\mathcal{B}$ is contravariantly finite.*
(ii) *We have the restricted functor $i^* : \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$.*
(iii) *We have the restricted functor $p^* : \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]) \to \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$.*

Moreover, under the above equivalent conditions, there exist the adjoint pairs

$$\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]) \overset{p^*_\lambda}{\underset{}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\longrightarrow}}} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \overset{i^*_\lambda}{\underset{}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\longrightarrow}}} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}.$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Since i^* is exact, we have only to show that $i^*(\mathcal{A}(-,x))$ is finitely presented for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is contravariantly finite, there exists a right $\mathcal{B}$-approximation $\alpha_0 : b_0 \to x$. The morphism α_0 induces an epimorphism $\mathcal{B}(-,b_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0\circ -} \mathcal{A}(-,x)|_{\mathcal{B}} \to 0$, that is, the $\mathcal{B}$-module $\mathcal{A}(-,x)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finitely generated. Since $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ is abelian, we have the kernel-sequence $0 \to X \to \mathcal{A}(-,b_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0\circ -} \mathcal{A}(-,x)$ in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ induced from the morphism α_0. Since $X \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$, there exists an epimorphism $\mathcal{A}(-,x') \to X \to 0$ and thus we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{A}(-,x')|_{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathcal{B}(-,b_0) \to \mathcal{A}(-,x)|_{\mathcal{B}} \to 0$$

in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$. The fact that $\mathcal{A}(-,x')|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finitely generated shows that $\mathcal{A}(-,x)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finitely presented.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): For any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, the functor $i^*(\mathcal{A}(-,x)) = \mathcal{A}(-,x)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is finitely presented. This shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is contravariantly finite by definition. □

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety and $\mathcal{B}$ is functorially finite in $\mathcal{A}$, then the restriction functor $i^* : \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ admits a right adjoint.

Proposition 2.5. *There exist the following adjoint pairs for the pair $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ of a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$ and its full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$:*

$$\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \overset{q_\lambda}{\underset{q_\rho}{\overset{\longrightarrow}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\longrightarrow}}}} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B},$$

where $q := i^*$ is the restriction functor induced by the canonical inclusion $i : \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, we have isomorphisms $q_\rho \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}, -)$ and $q_\lambda \cong - \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} ({}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Proof. Recall that every dualizing k-variety admits weak-kernels and weak-cokernels. As we have seen in Lemma 2.4, there exists an adjoint pair $(q_\lambda \dashv q)$ between $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is also a dualizing k-variety and $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is functorially finite in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$, by Lemma 2.4, we have an adjoint pair $(q'_\lambda \dashv q')$ between $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$ and $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$, where q' is the restriction functor induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are dualizing

k-varieties, we have the following functors:



First we notice that $q \cong Dq'D$ holds by definition. Put $q_\rho := Dq'_\lambda D : \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{B} \to \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$. It is easy to check that q and q_ρ form an adjoint pair $(q \dashv q_\rho)$.

In the remaining part of the proof, we shall verify the latter statement, namely, an isomorphism $q_\rho \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}, -)$. This can be verified by the following calculations. Since q_ρ is left-exact and preserves injective objects, we have only to check the values of q_ρ on injective $\mathcal{B}$-modules. Due to the duality $D : \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{B} \to \mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$, each injective $\mathcal{B}$-module is isomorphic to $D\mathcal{B}(x, -)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{B}$.

$$\begin{aligned} q_\rho(D\mathcal{B}(x,-)) &= Dq'_\lambda D(D\mathcal{B}(x,-)) \\ &\cong D(({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}(x,-)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathcal{B}(x,-), D({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}, D\mathcal{B}(x,-)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $q_\rho \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}({}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}, -)$ on $\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{B}$, and hence it is fully faithful. □

By the discussion so far, we constructed the right part of the recollement (2.2). Next, we shall construct the left part of (2.2). We keep the assumption that $\mathcal{A}$ is a dualizing k-variety and $\mathcal{B}$ is functorially finite. Let us begin with a "finitely presented version" of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.6. *The following hold.*

(i) *A finitely presented $\mathcal{A}$-module X belongs to $\mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])$ if and only if X vanishes on objects in $\mathcal{B}$. In particular, we have $\mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]) = \mathrm{Ker}\, q$.*

(ii) *The ideal quotient category $\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]$ is a dualizing k-variety.*

Proof. (i) We only prove the "if" part. If $X(b) = 0$ for any $b \in \mathcal{B}$, there uniquely exists a functor $X' : \mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}] \to \mathsf{Mod}\,k$ such that $X \cong p^*X'$. We have only to show that $X' \in \mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])$. Applying p^*_λ to the isomorphism $X \cong p^*X'$ yields $p^*_\lambda X \cong p^*_\lambda p^* X' \cong X'$. Since p^*_λ preserves finitely presentedness and $X \in \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}$, we conclude $X' \in \mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])$.

(ii) Let $X \in \mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])$. Then DX can be regarded as a finitely presented $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$-module which vanishes on $\mathcal{B}$. Hence $DX \in \mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])^{\mathrm{op}}$. Conversely, we can show that $DX' \in \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]$ for any $X' \in \mathsf{mod}\,(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])^{\mathrm{op}}$. □

By a similar argument in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.7. *There exist the following adjoint pairs for the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$ and its full subcategory $\mathcal{B}$:*



where $e := p^*$ *is the restriction functor induced by the canonical projection* $p : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]$. *Moreover, we have isomorphisms* $e_\rho \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}({}_{\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])_{\mathcal{A}}, -)$ *and* $e_\lambda \cong - \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} ({}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])_{\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}]})$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.3.

proof of Theorem 2.3. By Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.7, we have four adjoint pairs $(q_\lambda \dashv q), (q \dashv q_\rho), (e_\lambda \dashv e)$ and $(e \dashv e_\rho)$ with q_ρ, q_λ and e fully faithful. By definition, $\mathrm{Ker}\, q$ is a full subcategory in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ consisiting of functors which vanihes on $\mathcal{B}$. Due to Lemma 2.6, we have $\mathrm{Ker}\, q = \mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{A}/[\mathcal{B}])$. Hence they form a recollement. □

Now we apply Theorem 2.3 to the following special setting. Let A be a finite dimentional k-algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ a functorially finite subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ containing A. Applying Theorem 2.3 to the pair $(\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{proj}\, A)$ yields the following recollement:

$$\mathsf{mod}\,\underline{\mathcal{B}} \;\overset{e}{\longrightarrow}\; \mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{B} \;\overset{q}{\longrightarrow}\; \mathsf{mod}\, A, \qquad (e_\lambda \dashv e,\ q_\lambda \dashv q,\ e \dashv e_\rho,\ q \dashv q_\rho) \tag{2.3}$$

where we identify $\mathsf{mod}(\mathsf{proj}\, A)$ with $\mathsf{mod}\, A$ via the equivalence $\mathsf{mod}(\mathsf{proj}\, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{mod}\, A, X \mapsto X(A)$. By Proposition 1.7, this recollement induces the following.

Corollary 2.8. *Under the above assumption, there exists an equivalence*

$$\frac{\mathsf{mod}\,\mathcal{B}}{\mathsf{mod}\,\underline{\mathcal{B}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{mod}\, A.$$

We call this generalized Auslander's formula.

By setting $\mathcal{B} = \mathsf{mod}\, A$, we can recover classical Auslander's formula $\frac{\mathsf{mod}(\mathsf{mod}\, A)}{\mathsf{mod}(\underline{\mathsf{mod}}\, A)} \simeq \mathsf{mod}\, A$ (see [Aus1, p. 205] and [Len, p. 1] for definition).

3. Application to the Auslander-Bridger sequences

The aim of this section is to show a close relationship between recollements and Auslander-Bridger sequences. Throughout this section, we fix a dualizing k-variety $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a functorially finite subcategory in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ which contains $\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathsf{inj}\mathcal{A}$.

Firstly, we recall the definition of Auslander-Bridger sequence, following [IJ, Prop. 2.7]. For the category $\mathcal{B}$, we denote the $\mathcal{B}$-duality by $(-)^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(-, \mathcal{B})$. Note that the $\mathcal{B}$-duality yields a duality $(-)^* : \mathsf{proj}\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{proj}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$, $\mathcal{B}(-, b) \mapsto \mathcal{B}(b, -)$. Let $X \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ with a minimal projective presentation $\mathcal{B}(-, b_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \to X \to 0$ and set

$$\mathrm{Tr}X := \mathrm{Cok}\, \alpha^*$$

in $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$, see [AB]. We denote by $\epsilon : X \to X^{**}$ the evaluation map.

Definition-Proposition 3.1. *For each object* $X \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$, *there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Tr}X, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to X \xrightarrow{\epsilon} X^{**} \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Tr}X, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to 0,$$

which is called the Auslander-Bridger sequence of X.

For the convenience of the reader, we recall from [Aus1, Prop. 6.3] and [IJ, Prop. 2.7] the construction of the Auslander-Bridger sequence of X. Take a minimal projective presentation $\mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_1) \to X \to 0$ of X. Taking a left approximation of a

cokernel of $b_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_1$ yields an exact sequence $b_0 \to b_1 \to b_2$. Taking a left approximation of a cokernel of $b_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} b_2$ yields an exact sequence

$$b_0 \to b_1 \to b_2 \to b_3 \tag{3.1}$$

in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. By the construction, the sequence (3.1) induces the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{B}(b_3, -) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{B}(b_2, -) \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{B}(b_1, -) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{B}(b_0, -) \tag{3.2}$$

in $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$. Note that $X^* = \operatorname{Ker} f$ and $\mathrm{Tr}X = \operatorname{Cok} f$. Taking the $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$-duality of (3.2) yields the following sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Tr}X, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{B}(-, b_1) \xrightarrow{g^*} \mathcal{B}(-, b_2) \xrightarrow{h^*} \mathcal{B}(-, b_3) \tag{3.3}$$

Note that $\operatorname{Cok} f^* \cong X$ and $\operatorname{Ker} h^* = X^{**}$. Since (3.3) is a complex, we have a canonical inculusion $i : \operatorname{Im} g^* \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} h^*$ and a unique canonical epimorphism $\epsilon' : X \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Im} g^*$. It is readily verified that there exists a commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Im} f^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(-, b_1) & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon'} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ker} g^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(-, b_1) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Im} g^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \\
 & & & & & & X^{**} & &
\end{array}$$

The evaluation map ϵ is obtained as the composition $i \circ \epsilon'$. By the Snake Lemma, we have $\operatorname{Ker} \epsilon \cong \operatorname{Ker} \epsilon' \cong \operatorname{Ker} g^* / \operatorname{Im} f^*$. It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{Cok} \epsilon \cong \operatorname{Cok} i = \operatorname{Ker} h^* / \operatorname{Im} g^*$. Since (3.3) is the $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}$-duality of the projective resolution of $\mathrm{Tr}X$, we have the isomorphisms $\operatorname{Ker} g^* / \operatorname{Im} f^* \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Tr}X, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$ and $\operatorname{Ker} h^* / \operatorname{Im} g^* \cong \operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Tr}X, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$. We have thus obtained the Auslander-Bridger sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Tr}X, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to X \xrightarrow{\epsilon} X^{**} \to \operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathrm{Tr}X, \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to 0.$$

We give an interpretation of the Auslander-Bridger sequences via the recollement appearing below. Due to Theorem 2.3, the pair $(\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A})$ induces the following recollement:

$$\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}} \underset{e_\rho}{\overset{e_\lambda}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B} \underset{q_\rho}{\overset{q_\lambda}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}, \tag{3.4}$$

where we identify $\mathsf{mod}(\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A})$ with $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ via the equivalence $\mathsf{mod}(\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}, \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A})$ be a recollement (3.4). Then the right-defining exact sequence*

$$0 \to (ee_\rho)X \to X \to (q_\rho q)X \to X' \to 0$$

of $X \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to the Auslander-Bridger sequence of X.

In the rest, we give a proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 2.6 (i), $\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ is a full subcategory in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ consisting of objects X which admits a projective presentation

$$\mathcal{B}(-, b_1) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \to X \to 0$$

with $b_1 \to b_0$ an epimorphism in $\mathcal{B}$. Proposition 2.5 gives an explicit description of the functor q_ρ.

Lemma 3.3. *The functor* $q_\rho : \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ *sends* x *to* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}, x)$.

We define the *2nd syzygy category* $\Omega^2(\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B})$ *of* $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ consisting of objects X which admits an exact sequence $0 \to X \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_1)$ for some $b_0, b_1 \in \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.4. *We have the equality* $\operatorname{Im} q_\rho = \Omega^2(\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. We show that $\operatorname{Im} q_\rho \subseteq \Omega^2(\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B})$. Let $x \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. Due to $\mathsf{inj}\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \to x \to b_0 \to b_1$ in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ with $b_0, b_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ which is obtained by taking injective copresentation of x. Applying q_ρ to the above exact sequence gives an exact sequence $0 \to q_\rho x \to q_\rho b_0 \to q_\rho b_1$. By Lemma 3.3, $q_\rho(b_i) \cong \mathcal{B}(-, b_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$. This implies that $q_\rho x \in \Omega^2(\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B})$.

To show the converse, take an object $X \in \Omega^2(\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B})$ with an exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_1) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0).$$

Taking the kernel of $b_1 \to b_0$ yields an exact sequence $0 \to x \to b_1 \to b_0$ in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. By applying q_ρ to this, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to q_\rho x \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_1) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0)$$

in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$. Thus we have $X \cong q_\rho x \in \operatorname{Im} q_\rho$. This finishes the proof. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof. Due to Proposition 1.8, it is enough to show that $X^{**} \in \operatorname{Im} q_\rho$ and $q(\epsilon)$ is an isomorphism.

(i) The fact that $X^{**} \in \Omega^2(\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B})$ follows from the exact sequence. Actually, we can find the exact sequence $0 \to X^{**} \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_2) \xrightarrow{h^*} \mathcal{B}(-, b_3)$ in (3.3).

(ii) Since q is a restriction functor with respect to the subcategory $\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$, we evaluate the sequence (3.3) on $p \in \mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$. This yields an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{B}(p, b_0) \to \mathcal{B}(p, b_1) \to \mathcal{B}(p, b_2) \to \mathcal{B}(p, b_3),$$

since the sequence $b_0 \to b_1 \to b_2 \to b_3$ is exact. Therefore $\epsilon(p)$ is an isomorphism. Equivalently $q(\epsilon)$ is an isomorphism. □

4. Application to the n-Auslander-Reiten duality

Throughout this section let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dualizing k-variety and n a positive integer. We recall the notion of n-cluster tilting subcategory in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. For convenience, we define the full subcategories $\mathcal{B}^{\perp_n}$ and ${}^{\perp_n}\mathcal{B}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}^{\perp_n} &:= \{x \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \operatorname{Ext}^i_A(\mathcal{B}, x) = 0\}, \\ {}^{\perp_n}\mathcal{B} &:= \{x \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A} \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \operatorname{Ext}^i_A(x, \mathcal{B}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.1. [Iya2, Def. 2.2] A functorially finite subcategory $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$ together with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is said to be *n-cluster-tilting* if the equalities $\mathcal{B} = {}^{\perp_{n-1}}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^{\perp_{n-1}}$ hold.

Note that 1-cluster tilting subcategory is nothing other than $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. It is obvious that every n-cluster tilting subcategory contains $\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathsf{inj}\mathcal{A}$, since $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}, -)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(-, \mathsf{inj}\mathcal{A})$ is zero for any $i > 0$. This fact forces each right $\mathcal{B}$-approximation $b \to x$ of x to be an epimorphism in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$, for every $x \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. Dually each left $\mathcal{B}$-approximation is a monomorphism.

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{B}$ always denotes an n-cluster-tilting subcategory in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. We collect some facts for later use. The following notion is instrumental in this section.

Definition 4.2. [Jas, Def. 2.4] Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an n-cluster-tilting subcategory in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. A complex $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to b_n \to \cdots \to b_0 \to 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$ is said to be *n-exact* if the induced sequences

$$0 \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_{n+1}) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_n) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0),$$
$$0 \to \mathcal{B}(b_0, -) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{B}(b_n, -) \to \mathcal{B}(b_{n+1}, -)$$

are exact in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$, respectively.

Lemma 4.3. [Jas, Prop. 3.17] *The following hold for an n-cluster-tilting subcategory $\mathcal{B}$.*

(i) *Each monomorphism $b_{n+1} \to b_n$ in $\mathcal{B}$ can be embedded in an n-exact sequence $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to b_n \to \cdots \to b_0 \to 0$. Moreover, δ is uniquely determined up to homotopy.*

(ii) *Each epimorphism $b_1 \to b_0$ in $\mathcal{B}$ can be embedded in an n-exact sequence $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to \cdots \to b_1 \to b_0 \to 0$. Moreover, δ is uniquely determined up to homotopy.*

As a generalization of Auslander's defect introduced in [Aus1] (see also Section IV. 4 in [ARS]), we define the following concepts, which were introduced by Jasso and Kvamme independently.

Definition 4.4. [JK] Let $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to b_n \to \cdots \to b_0 \to 0$ be an n-exact sequence in $\mathcal{B}$. The *contravariant n-defect* δ^{*n} and the *covariant n-defect* δ_{*n} are defined by the exactness of the following sequences:

$$0 \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_{n+1}) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_n) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \to \delta^{*n} \to 0,$$
$$0 \to \mathcal{B}(b_0, -) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{B}(b_n, -) \to \mathcal{B}(b_{n+1}, -) \to \delta_{*n} \to 0.$$

We give the following characterization of n-defects.

Proposition 4.5. *The full subcategory of contravariant n-defects equals to $\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$. Dually the full subcategory of covariant n-defects equals to $\mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}})$ in $\mathsf{mod}(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$.*

Proof. We only prove the former statement. Consider $X \in \mathsf{mod}\mathcal{B}$ with a projective presentation $\mathcal{B}(-, b_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(-, f_0)} \mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \to X \to 0$. Assume that X belongs to $\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$. Since X vanishes on $p \in \mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$, the map f_0 is an epimorphism in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 4.3, the map f_0 can be embedded in an n-exact sequence $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to \cdots \to b_1 \to b_0 \to 0$. Hence $\delta^{*n} \cong X$.

Conversely, the fact that contravariant n-defect δ^{*n} belongs to $\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ can be confirmed as follows. Let $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to \cdots \to b_1 \to b_0 \to 0$ be the corresponding n-exact sequence and p an object in $\mathsf{proj}\mathcal{A}$. Then we have the right exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(p, b_1) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(p, b_0) \to \delta^{*n}(p) \to 0$$

by definition. Since $b_1 \to b_0$ is epic in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$, this concludes that $\delta^{*n}(p) = 0$. Hence $\delta^{*n} \in \mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$. □

There exists a duality between $\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}})$. We denote $\mathsf{C}(\mathcal{B})$ the category of complexes in $\mathcal{B}$. For convenience, we consider the homotopy category $\mathsf{K}(\mathcal{B})$ of $\mathsf{C}(\mathcal{B})$ and its full subcategory $\mathsf{K}^{n\text{-ex}}(\mathcal{B})$ consisting of n-exact sequences $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to \cdots \to b_1 \to b_0 \to 0$ with the degree of b_0 being zero.

Proposition 4.6. *For n-exact sequences $\delta : 0 \to b_{n+1} \to \cdots \to b_1 \to b_0 \to 0$ and $\delta' : 0 \to b'_{n+1} \to \cdots \to b'_1 \to b'_0 \to 0$, the following are equivalent.*

(i) *The sequence δ is homotopy equivalent to δ'.*

(ii) *There exists an isomorphism* $\delta^{*n} \cong \delta'^{*n}$.
(iii) *There exists an isomorphism* $\delta_{*n} \cong \delta'_{*n}$.

Moreover, we have a duality $\Phi : \mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \simeq \mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ *sending* δ_{*n} *to* δ^{*n}.

Proof. We assume that δ is homotopy equivalent to δ', that is, there exists chain maps $\phi : \delta \to \delta'$ and $\psi : \delta' \to \delta$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & b_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & b_1 & \longrightarrow & b_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{n+1}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_0} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & b'_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & b'_1 & \longrightarrow & b'_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_{n+1}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_0} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & b_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & b_1 & \longrightarrow & b_0 & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

with $1 - \psi\phi$ and $1 - \phi\psi$ null-homotopic. By a standard argument, we have an isomorphism $\delta^{*n} \cong \delta'^{*n}$, an equivalence $\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{K}^{n\text{-ex}}(\mathcal{B})$ which sends δ^{*n} to δ, and a duality $\mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{K}^{n\text{-ex}}(\mathcal{B})$ which sends δ_{*n} to δ. It is obvious that the composed functor

$$\Phi : \mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{K}^{n\text{-ex}}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \delta_{*n} \mapsto \delta \mapsto \delta^{*n}$$

is a duality. □

In the rest we shall construct the n-Auslander-Reiten duality from a viewpoint of dualizing k-variety. As we have seen in Lemma 2.6, the category $\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ is a dualizing k-variety and thus we have the duality $D : \mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}})$. By composing the duality Φ in Propositon 4.6 with the duality D, we have the following equivalence.

Proposition 4.7. *The composed functor* $D \circ \Phi : \mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \mathsf{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}})$ *is an equivalence which indudes the equivalence* $\sigma_n : \underline{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathcal{B}}$. *Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram up to isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}} & \xleftarrow{\Phi} & \mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \\
{\scriptstyle D}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle -\circ\sigma_n} & \\
\mathsf{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) & &
\end{array}$$

Proof. It is clear that $D \circ \Phi$ gives the equivalence from $\mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}})$ to $\mathsf{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}})$. We restrict this onto their projective objects, that is, $\mathsf{proj}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \simeq \mathsf{proj}(\underline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}})$. Thus we have the equivalence $\sigma_n : \underline{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ which makes the above diagram commutative up to isomorphisms. □

By the dual argument, we have the equivalence $\sigma_n^- : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \to \underline{\mathcal{B}}$ which makes the following diagram commutative up to isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}} & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} & \mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \\
 & \searrow^{-\circ\sigma_n^-} & {\scriptstyle D}\downarrow \\
 & & \mathsf{mod}\overline{\mathcal{B}}
\end{array}$$

As an immediate consequence of the above diagrams, we have the higher defect formula. Moreover, as a special case of the higher defect formula we obtain the higher Auslander-Reiten duality by using a modification of Krause's proof of the classical formula (see [Kra1]).

Theorem 4.8. *There exist the following formulas:*

(i) (Higher defect formula) *functorial isomorphisms* $D\delta^{*n} \cong \delta_{*n} \circ \sigma_n$ *and* $D\delta_{*n} \cong \delta^{*n} \circ \sigma_n^-$.

(ii) (Higher Auslander-Reiten duality) *bifunctorial isomorphisms* $\underline{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma_n^- y, x) \cong D\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, \sigma_n x)$ *in* $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. (i) It directly follows from the fact that the duality $\Phi : \mathsf{mod}(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathrm{op}}) \to \mathsf{mod}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$ sends δ_{*n} to δ^{*n} (Proposition 4.6).

(ii) We only prove the second isomorphism. Fix an object $y \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $y \hookrightarrow I(y)$ be an injective hull of y in $\mathsf{mod}\mathcal{A}$. Complete the n-exact sequence $\delta : 0 \to y \hookrightarrow I(y) \to b_{n-1} \to \cdots \to b_0 \to 0$. By Proposition 4.7, we have the isomorphisms $D\delta^{*n} \cong D\Phi(\delta_{*n}) \cong \delta_{*n} \circ \sigma_n$. By [Iya3, Lem. 3.5], we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{B}(-, y) \to \mathcal{B}(-, I(y)) \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_{n-1}) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{B}(-, b_0) \to \operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(-, y) \to \operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(-, I(y))$$

on $\mathcal{B}$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(-, I(y)) = 0$, we conclude $\delta^{*n} \cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(-, y)$. Since $y \hookrightarrow I(y)$ is an injective hull, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{B}(b_0, -) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{B}(b_{n-1}, -) \to \mathcal{B}(I(y), -) \to \mathcal{B}(y, -) \to \delta_{*n} \to 0$$

shows the isomorphism $\delta_{*n} \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, -)$. Therefore we obtain the desired isomorphism $D\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(-, y) \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, \sigma_n(-))$. □

The isomorphisms in Theorem 4.8(ii) are nothing other than n-Auslander-Reiten dualty. In partilular, the functor σ_n (resp. σ^n) coincides with the n-Auslander-Reiten translation τ_n (resp. τ^n).

We recall the notion of the n-Auslander-Reiten duality. Let

$$\tau : \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \to \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^- : \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \to \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A}$$

be the Auslander-Reiten translations. As a higher version of the Auslander-Reiten translation, the notion of n-Auslander-Reiten translation is defined as follows. We denote the n-th syzygy (resp. n-th cosyzygy) functor by $\Omega^n : \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \to \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\Omega^{-n} : \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \to \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A}$).

Definition-Theorem 4.9. [Iya2, Thm. 1.4.1] *The* n-Auslander-Reiten translations *are defined to be the functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n &:= \tau\Omega^{n-1} : \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{n-1}} \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\tau} \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A}, \\ \tau_n^- &:= \tau^-\Omega^{-(n-1)} : \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{-(n-1)}} \overline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\tau^-} \underline{\mathsf{mod}}\mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

These functors induce mutually quasi-inverse equivalences

$$\tau_n : \underline{\mathcal{B}} \to \overline{\mathcal{B}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_n^- : \overline{\mathcal{B}} \to \underline{\mathcal{B}}.$$

We have the following analog of Theorem 4.8(ii).

Proposition 4.10. [Iya2, Thm. 1.5] *There exist bifunctorial isomorphisms* $\underline{\mathcal{B}}(\tau_n^- y, x) \cong D\operatorname{Ext}^n_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y) \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, \tau_n x)$ *in* $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Combining results above, we obtain the following explicit form of σ_n and σ_n^-.

Theorem 4.11. *The functor σ_n and σ_n^- are isomorphic to the n-Auslander-Reiten translations τ_n and τ_n^-, repectively.*

Proof. Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.10 gives an isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, \sigma_n x) \cong \overline{\mathcal{B}}(y, \tau_n x)$. By Yoneda Lemma, we have an isomorphism $\sigma_n \cong \tau_n$. □

Note that Theorem 4.8 is independently obtained by Jasso and Kvamme in [JK, Theorem 3.7, Corollary 3.8]. The proof is different since we proved the higher defect formula in Theorem 4.8 without using the explicit form of τ_n.

References

[Aus1] M. Auslander, *Coherent functors*. In Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965), pages 189231. Springer, New York, 1966.

[AB] M. Auslander, M Bridger, *Stable module theory*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.

[AR1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, *Stable equivalence of dualizing R-varieties*. Adv. in Math. 12 (1974) No. 3, 306-366.

[ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*. Cambridge Studies in AdvancedMathematics 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[ASS] M. Auslander, D. Simson, A. Skowrónski, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras. Vol. 1*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts 65, Cambridge university press (2006).

[AS] M. Auslander, S. O. Smalo, *Almost split sequences in subcategories*. J. Algebra, 69(2):426454, April 1981.

[BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux Pervers (Perverse sheaves)*. Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, I, Luminy, 1981, Asterisque 100 (1982) 5171 (in French).

[Buc] R-O. Buchweitz, *Finite representation type and periodic Hochschild (co-)homology*. Trends in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras (Seattle, WA, 1997), 81–109, Contemp. Math., 229, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[CS] W. Crawley-Boevey, J. Sauter, *On quiver Grassmannians and orbit closures for representation-finite algebras*. Math. Z. 285 (2017), no. 1-2, 367–395.

[Eir] Ö. Eiríksson, *From Submodule Categories to the Stable Auslander Algebra*. arXiv:1607.08504v3, July 2016.

[FP] V. Franjou, T. Pirashvili, *Comparison of abelian categories recollements*. Doc. Math. 9 (2004), 41–56 (electronic).

[Gab] P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 323-448.

[GZ] P. Gabriel, M. Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*. Springer Ergebnisse35 (1967).

[Iya1] O. Iyama, *Auslander correspondence*. Adv. Math., 210(1):5182, March 2007.

[Iya2] O. Iyama, *Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories*. Adv. Math., 210(1):2250, March 2007.

[Iya3] O. Iyama, *Cluster tilting for higher Auslander algebras*. Adv. Math., 226(1):161, January 2011.

[IJ] O. Iyama, G. Jasso, *Auslander correspondence for dualizing R-varieties*. arXiv:1602.00127, March 2016.

[Jas] G. Jasso, *n-abelian and n-exact categories*. Math. Z., 283(3-4):703759, 2016.

[JK] G. Jasso, S. Kvamme, *An introduction to higher Auslander-Reiten theory*. arXiv:1610.05458v1, October 2016.

[Kra1] H. Krause, *A short proof for Auslander's defect formula*. Linear Algebra Appl., 365:267270, 2003. Special issue on linear algebra methods in representation theory.

[Kra2] H. Krause, *Highest weight categories and recollements*. arXiv:1506.01485v2, June 2015.

[Len] H. Lenzing, *Auslander's work on Artin algebras*. in Algebras and modules, I (Trondheim, 1996), 83105, CMS Conf. Proc., 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[Pop] N. Popescu, *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*. London Mathematical Society Monographs, No. 3. Academic Press, London-New York, 1973.

[Psa] C. Psaroudakis, *Homological theory of recollements of abelian categories*. J. Algebra 398 (2014), 63–110.

[PV] C. Psaroudakis, J. Vitória, *Recollements of module categories*. Appl. Categ. Structures 22 (2014), no. 4, 579–593.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan
E-mail address: m11019b@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp